Regualrization and Its Application in Data Mining

Wenbao Li

liwenbao930116@gmail.com

University of Electronic Science and Technology of China



Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

Outline

The concept of regularization

The theory of regularization

The application of regularization

➢In data mining/machine learning

➢In multi-task learning

Two problems:

Ill-posed inverse problem

According to Hadamard, 1915 : Given mapping $A:X \to Y$, equation Ax = yis well - posed provided - a solution exists for each $y \in Y, \exists x \in X$ such that Ax = y- the solution is unique i.e. $Ax_1 = Ax_2 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$ - the solution is stable i.e. A^{-1} is continuous An equation is *ill-posed* if it is not *well-posed*.

- So, how do we solute such problem Ax=y which is ill-posed

• Two problems:

- Overfitting in machine learning regression problems:



- So, how do we decide which model is to be selected?

• Definition:

- Regularization was first introduced in the context of solving integral equation numerically by Tikhonov(1943).
- (Wikipedia)Regularization, in mathematics and statistics and particularly in the fields of machine learning and *inverse problems*, refers to *a process of introducing additional prior information in order to solve an ill-posed problem or to prevent overfitting*.
- (Inverse problems)Informally,Regularization is defined as it "*Imposes* stability on an ill-posed problem in a manner that yields accurate approximate solutions,often by incorporating prior information".
- One simple form of regularization is

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - y\| + \gamma \|x\|$$

• Definition:

- Regularization provides methods for
 - finding approximate and stable solutions of the ill-posed inverse problems.
 - preventing overfitting or ensure the smoothness of regression function or solution.
- It was first designed for solving the ill-posed inverse problem, but later give rise to regularized learning algorithms.

• The generalized regularization form

Linear System

 $origi: \min_{x} \|Ax - y\|$ regularized: $\min_{x} \|Ax - y\| + \gamma \|x\|$

Learning algorithm system

$$\min_{W} L[h(W,X),Y] + \lambda \|g(W)\|, eg: h(X) = W^{T}X,$$

- The first term make sure that the measurement of fitting or the degree of consistence with the training examples.
- The second term make sure the simpler model or not the extreme solutions.
- So, here are two parameters λ and g(W) to be decided.

• Typical regularization method—L1-norm regularization

 $\min \left\| Ax - b \right\|_2 + \lambda \left\| x \right\|_1$

- By varying the parameter δ we can sweep out the optimal trade-off curve between $||Ax-b||_2$ and $||x||_1$, which serves as an approximation of the optimal trade-off curve between $||Ax-b||_2$ and the sparsity or cardinality card(x) of the vector x, i.e., the number of nonzero elements.

• Typical regularization method—Tikhonov regularization

$$\min \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\Gamma x\|_2^2$$
$$x = (A^T A + \lambda \Gamma^T \Gamma)^{-1} A^T b$$

- The penalty term is the form of squared L2 norm of x.
- Γ is the tikhonov matrix or tikhonov operator. When $\Gamma = I$, it becomes the standard form. In many cases, $\Gamma = \alpha I$
- $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter.

 Typical regularization method—Smooth regularization method(Special case of Tikhonov regularization)

 $\min \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \delta \|\Delta x\|_{2}^{2}$ $\min \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \delta \|\Delta x\|_{2}^{2} + \eta \|x\|_{2}^{2}$

 Δ is typically the discretization of a derivative operator of first or second order. And the interpretation of it is the smoothness of x.

- Typical regularization method—Iterative Tikhonov regularization
 - Once we have computed the Tikhonov solution, we may find a better approximation by applying Tikhonov regularization again using the previous finding solution as initial solution.

$$\min \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|x\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$x_0 = \mathbf{0}, \ x_k = (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} (A^T b + \lambda x_{k-1}), \text{ for } k = 1, 2, ... t - 1$$

- Parameter: λ and t
- Advantages:

Typical regularization method—Landweber iteration

$$\min J(x) = \min \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|x\|_{2}^{2}$$

- use gradient descent

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla J(x) = A^{T}(Ax - b) + \lambda x = (A^{T}A + \lambda I)x - A^{T}b$$

$$x_{0} = 0, \quad x_{k} = x_{k-1} - \frac{\mu}{2}\nabla J(x_{k-1})$$

$$= x_{k-1} - \mu((A^{T}A + \lambda I)x_{k-1} - A^{T}b) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots t - 1$$

- use induction method we can derive

$$x_{n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[(1 - \mu \lambda) I - \mu A^{T} A \right]^{j} A^{T} b$$

- Typical regularization method—Bregman Iterative regularization(used for image restoring when first proposed)
 - Probelm: $\min J(u) + H(u), J(u)$ is regularizer

Require : $J(\bullet), H(\bullet)$ 1.Initialize : $k = 0, u^0 = \mathbf{0}, p^0 = \mathbf{0}$. 2.while "not converge" do $3.u^{k+1} \leftarrow \arg\min_{u} D_J^{p^k}(u, u^k) + H(u)$ where $D_J^p(u, v) = J(u) - J(v) - \langle p, u - v \rangle$ $4.p^{k+1} \leftarrow p^k - \nabla H(u^{k+1}) \in \partial J(u^{k+1})$ $5.k \leftarrow k+1$ 6.end while

Typical regularization method—Truncated SVD

- Idea:Cut off components corresponding to small singular values.

 $A \in R^{m \times n} \text{ has singular value decomposition } A = U\Sigma V^{T}$ $U, V \in R^{m \times n} \text{ are orthogonal.} U = (u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{n}), V = (v_{1}, v_{2}, \dots, v_{n})$ $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \dots, \sigma_{n}),$ $\sigma_{1} \ge \sigma_{2} \ge \dots \ge \sigma_{k} > \sigma_{k+1} = \dots = \sigma_{n} = 0$ rank(A) = k

- The definition of TSVD of A is

$$A_k = U\Sigma_k V^T = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \sigma_i v_i^T, \Sigma_k = diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

- The TSVD solution of $\min ||Ax - b||_2$

$$x_k = V diag(\frac{1}{\sigma_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_k}, 0, \dots, 0) U^T b = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i$$

Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

Regularization and Its application in Data Mining 14/45

- Typical regularization method—Truncated SVD regularization
 - Consider now regularization in standard form

$$\min \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_2^2$$
$$x = (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} A^T b$$

- The definition of TSVD of A is

$$A_k = U\Sigma_k V^T = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \sigma_i v_i^T, \Sigma_k = diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

- The TSVD solution of $\min \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_2^2$

$$x_{k} = V diag(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} + \lambda}, \cdots, \frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2} + \lambda}, 0, \cdots, 0)U^{T}b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma_{i}u_{i}^{T}b}{\sigma_{i}^{2} + \lambda}v_{i}$$

- Typical regularization method—Truncated SVD regularization(cont.)
 - filter out the contributions to the solution corresponding to the smallest singular values

$$x_{k} = V diag(\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}, 0, \dots, 0)U^{T}b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u_{i}^{T}b}{\sigma_{i}}v_{i}$$
$$x_{k} = V diag(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2} + \lambda}, \dots, \frac{\sigma_{k}}{\sigma_{k}^{2} + \lambda}, 0, \dots, 0)U^{T}b = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma_{i}u_{i}^{T}b}{\sigma_{i}^{2} + \lambda}v_{i}$$

- The filter function can be shown as following

$$f_i = \begin{cases} 1/\sigma_i, \sigma_i \ge \sigma_k \\ 0, \sigma_i < \sigma_k \end{cases} \qquad f_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \end{cases}$$

- The relation of regularization in linear system and in learning algorithm system
 - training set $S = \{(X_1, Y_1), ..., (X_n, Y_n)\}.$
 - X is the n by d input matrix.
 - $Y=(Y_1,...,Y_n)$ is the output vector.
 - k denotes the kernel function, K is the n by n kernel matrix with entries $K_{ij} = k(X_i, X_j)$ and H is the RKHS with kernel k.
 - RLS estimator solves

$$\min_{f \in H} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{H}^2$$

• And we know the solution is

$$f_S^{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i k(x, x_i) \text{ with } (K + n\lambda I)c = Y$$

• ERM

- Similarly we can prove that the solution of empirical risk minimization

$$\min_{f \in H} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

– can be written as

$$f_S(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i k(x, x_i)$$
 with $Kc = Y$, $c = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_n)$

- So, what we should do is solving the problem Kc = Y

• The role of regularization

 We observed that adding a penalization term can be interpreted as way to to control smoothness and avoid overfitting.
 Learning System

$$\min_{f \in H} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - f(X_i))^2 \Rightarrow \min_{f \in H} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - f(X_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_{H}^2.$$

From a numerical point of view:
$$Kc = Y \Rightarrow (K + n\lambda I)c = Y$$
 Linear System

- It stabilizes a possibly ill-conditioned matrix inversion problem.
- This is the point of view of regularization for (ill-posed) inverse problems.

Regularization as a filter

- Goal:solve Kc = Y
- In the finite-dimensional case, the main problem is numerical stability.
 For example, let the kernel matrix have

 $K = Q\Sigma Q^T, \Sigma = diag(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n), \sigma \ge \sigma_2 \ge ... \ge 0$

 $Q = (q_1, q_2, ..., q_n), q_i$ is the corresponding eigenvectors of K

then

$$c = K^{-1}Y = (Q\Sigma Q^T)^{-1}Y = Q\Sigma^{-1}Q^TY = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \langle q_i, Y \rangle q_i.$$

- But K^{-1} doesn't always exist .That is terms in this sum with small eigenvalues σ_i give rise to numerical instability. For instance, if there are eigenvalues of zero, the matrix will be impossible to invert. As eigenvalues tend toward zero, the matrix tends toward rank-deficiency, and inversion becomes less stable. Statistically, this will correspond to high variance of the coefficients c_i .

- **Regularization as a filter(cont.)**
 - So, we take regularization into account. For example, tikhonov regularization

$$(K + n\lambda I)c = Y$$

then

$$c = (K + n\lambda I)^{-1}Y = (Q(\Sigma + n\lambda I)Q^T)^{-1}Y = Q(\Sigma + n\lambda I)^{-1}Q^TY = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i + n\lambda} \langle q_i, Y \rangle q_i.$$

 This shows that regularization as the effect of suppressing the influence of small eigenvalues in computing the inverse. In other words, regularization flters out the undesired components.

- Regularization as a filter(cont.)
 - So, we can define more general flters. Let $G_{\lambda}(\sigma)$ be a function on the kernel matrix. We can eigendecompose *K* to define

 $G_{\lambda}(K) = QG_{\lambda}(\Sigma)Q^{T}$

– meaning

$$G_{\lambda}(K)Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{\lambda}(\sigma_i) \langle q_i, Y \rangle q_i.$$

- For Tikhonov Regularization

$$G_{\lambda}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma + n\lambda}$$

• Regularization as a filter(cont.)

- For Landweber Iteration

$$c = \mu \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (I - \mu K)^i Y$$
$$G_{\lambda}(\sigma) = \mu \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (I - \mu \sigma)^i Y$$



- Regularization parameter selection criterion(for solving the inverse problem)
 - Gfrerer / Raus method

$$\lambda^3 b^T (AA^T + \lambda I)^{-3} b = \left\| e \right\|^2$$

- Morozov's discrepancy principle(Ask for the norm of the residual to be equal to the norm of the noise vector)

$$\left\| b - A(A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} A^T b \right\| = \left\| e \right\|$$

- The quasi-optimality criterion

$$\min\left[\lambda^2 b^T A (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-4} A^T b\right]$$

- Wahba:generalized cross validation
- Hansen:L-curve

Regularization parameter selection criterion

– Gfrerer / Raus method

$$\lambda^3 b^T (AA^T + \lambda I)^{-3} b = \left\| e \right\|^2$$

Regularization parameter selection criterion

- Morozov's discrepancy principle
 - Ask for the norm of the residual to be equal to the norm of the noise vector(take tikhonov regularization as example)

$$\left\|Ax_{\lambda}-b\right\| = \left\|e\right\|$$

$$\left\|A(A^{T}A+\lambda I)^{-1}A^{T}b-b\right\|=\left\|e\right\|$$

[Frank Bauer, Markus Reiÿ:Regularization independent of the noise level:an analysis of quasi-optimality]

Regularization parameter selection criterion

- The quasi-optimality criterion
 - take tikhonov regularization as example $x_{\lambda} = (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-1} A^T b$
 - Idea: choose parameter $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\lambda \frac{dx_{\lambda}}{d\lambda}\right\| \to \min_{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{dx_{\lambda}}{d\lambda} = -(A^{T}A + \lambda I)^{-2} A^{T}b$$
$$\left\|\lambda \frac{dx_{\lambda}}{d\lambda}\right\| = \lambda^{2} \left(-(A^{T}A + \lambda I)^{-2} A^{T}b\right)^{T} \left(-(A^{T}A + \lambda I)^{-2} A^{T}b\right)$$
$$= \lambda^{2} b^{T} A (A^{T}A + \lambda I)^{-4} A^{T}b$$

$$\min\left[\lambda^2 b^T A (A^T A + \lambda I)^{-4} A^T b\right]$$

Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

Regularization and Its application in Data Mining 27/45

[Golub;Heath;Wahba:generalized cross validation as a method for choosing a good ridge parameter]

- Regularization parameter selection criterion
 - Wahba:GCV
 - For ridge regression problem

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

• The ridge estimate is

$$\hat{\beta}(\lambda) = (X^T X + n\lambda I)^{-1} X^T y$$

• The GCV estimate of the parameter λ is the minimizer of $V(\lambda)$

$$V(\lambda) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \left\| (I - X(X^{T}X + n\lambda I)^{-1}X^{T})y \right\|_{2}^{2}}{\left[\frac{1}{n} Trace(I - X(X^{T}X + n\lambda I)^{-1}X^{T}) \right]^{2}}$$

[P.C. Hansen: The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems]

- Regularization parameter selection criterion
 - Hansen:L-curve
 - For a regularization problem such as tikhonov regularization, there are two parts to be minimize, the regularization solution norm and the residual norm

$$\min \left\| Ax - b \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \left\| L(x - x_{0}) \right\|_{2}^{2} (generalized form)$$

• L-curve is actually the plot of these two quantities versus each other, i.e., as a curve

$$\left(\left\|Ax_{\lambda}-b\right\|_{2},\left\|L(x_{\lambda}-x_{0})\right\|_{2}\right)$$

[P.C. Hansen: The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems]

Regularization parameter selection criterion

- Hansen:L-curve(cont.)



Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

[P.C. Hansen: The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems]

Regularization parameter selection criterion

- Hansen:L-curve(cont.)

- The definition of corner of L-curve
 - the point on the L-curve $(\hat{\rho}/2, \hat{\eta}/2)$ with maximum curvature κ given by equation

$$\kappa = 2 \frac{\eta \rho}{\eta'} \frac{\lambda^2 \eta' \rho + 2\lambda \eta \rho + \lambda^4 \eta \eta'}{(\lambda^2 \eta^2 + \rho^2)^{3/2}}$$

– where

$$\eta = \|x_{\lambda}\|_{2}^{2}, \rho = \|Ax_{\lambda} - b\|_{2}^{2}$$
$$\hat{\eta} = \log \eta, \hat{\rho} = \log \rho$$
$$\eta' = -\frac{4}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - f_{i}) f_{i}^{2} \frac{(u_{i}^{T})}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}, f_{i} = \frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2} + \lambda^{2}}$$

[P.C. Hansen: The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems]

Regularization parameter selection criterion





Figure 3: A typical L-curve (left) and a plot (right) of the corresponding curvature κ as a function of the regularization parameter.

• Application in machine learning—Ridge regression

- In the context of linear regression, n is the number of training examples, p is the number of features.
- Problems encountered when imposing generalized least squared error in linear regression.
 - if n >> p,there's smaller error in least squared regression
 - if $n \approx p$, it's easy to produce overfitting.
 - if n << p,least squared regression doesn't make sense about the result.

• Application in machine learning—Ridge regression(cont.)

 The above problem can be shown by the variance and its bias of error,which can be modeld by the following diagram.



Figure 8.8 The bias variance tradeoff illustrated with test error and training error. The training error is the top curve, which has a minimum in the middle of the plot. In order to create the best forecasts, we should adjust our model complexity where the test error is at a minimum. http://blog.csdn.net/google1989010

- So, we need to find the trade-off of variance and bias.

• Application in machine learning—Ridge regression(cont.)

- With the complex model, the training examples are not enough to do regression. So, we need to do feature selection.
- There are two solutions, one of which is ridge regression

$$\min \left\| w^T x - y \right\|_2^2 + \lambda \left\| w \right\|_2^2, \lambda > 0$$
$$\min \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \sum_{j=0}^p w_j x_{ij} \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^p w_j^2, \lambda > 0$$
$$\hat{w} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y$$

- Application in machine learning—Lasso regression
 - Based on the previous problem, another solution is lasso regression

$$\min \left\| w^{T} x - y \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|w\|_{1}, \lambda > 0$$
$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \sum_{j=0}^{p} w_{j} x_{ij} \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{p} |w_{j}|, \lambda > 0$$

• There is no analytical solution.But provide sparsity for solution.

• Application in machine learning

- Regularized linear regression
- Regularized logistic regression

- Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT
 - MTL:learning multiple task simutanously so as to get better learning performance which comes from the related tasks.
 - Key point: The relatedness among tasks. Different methods modeling the relatedness produce different algorithms.
 - Regularization-based MTL:Take the relatedness among tasks as a prori of models then adding to the objective function as a regularizer.

- Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT(Examples)
 - Mean-Regularized Multi-Task Learning(Evgeniou & Pontil, 2004 KDD)
 - Assumption: task parameter vectors of all tasks are close to each other.
 - Advantage: simple, intuitive, easy to implement
 - Disadvantage:may not hold in real applications.
 - Regularization:penalizes the deviation of each task from the mean

$$\min_{W} \frac{1}{2} \|XW - Y\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|W_{i} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} W_{s}\|_{2}^{2}$$

- Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT(Examples)
 - Multi-Task Learning with Joint Feature Learning(Obozinski et. al. 2009 Stat Comput, Liu et. al. 2010 Technical Report)
 - Using group sparsity: l_1/l_q norm regularization

$$\|_{1, q} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|w_i\|_{q}$$

W

• When q>1 we have group sparsity



- Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT(Examples)
 - Dirty Model for Multi-Task Learning(Jalali et. al. 2010 NIPS)
 - In practical applications, it is too restrictive to constrain all tasks to share a single shared structure



Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

- Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT(Examples)(outlier tasks)
 - Robust Multi-Task Feature Learning(Gong et. al. 2012 Submitted)
 - Simultaneously captures a common set of features among relevant tasks and identifies outlier tasks



$$\min_{P,Q} \frac{1}{2} \| X(P+Q) - Y \|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \| P \|_{1,q} + \lambda_{2} \| Q^{T} \|_{1,q}$$

Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

Regularization and Its application in Data Mining 42/45

• Application in multi-task learning-Regularization-based MLT(Examples)

AND SO ON...

References

- 1. Convex Optimization.(Stephen Boyd,2006)
- 2. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regularization_(mathematics)
- 3. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tikhonov_regularization
- 4. The truncated SVD as a method for regularization.(Pet Christian Hansen,1986)
- 5. An iterative regularization method for total variation-based image restoration(S. Osher, M. Burger, D. Goldfarb, J. Xu, and W. Yin,2005,SIAM)
- 6. Bregman Iterative Algorithms for L1-Minimization with Applications to Compressed Sensing(Wotao Yin, Stanley Osher, Donald Goldfarb, and Jerome Darbon, 2008, SIAM)
- 7. Spectral regularization(Lorenzo Rosasco,2009)
- 8. The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems(P.C. Hansen)
- 9. Generalized cross validation as a method for choosing a good ridge parameter(Golub,Heath,Wahba,1979)
- 10. And so on...

Acknowledgement

Thanks for your listening

Wenbao Li DataMining Group Meeting

Regularization and Its application in Data Mining 45/45